UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,341	04/17/2007	Abraham Kribus	30903	8459
67801 7590 01/20/2010 MARTIN D. MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRTSI, INC. P.O. BOX 16446 ADJ INGTON, VA 22215			EXAMINER	
			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/556,341	KRIBUS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen M. Gravini	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 70 and 107 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) 90-101 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 70-89 and 102-107 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	awn from consideration.  er.  □ accepted or b) objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20091108.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 70-89 and 102-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strass et al. (US 3,427,093). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification to be disclosed by Strass as comprising: at least one solar radiation concentrator (mirrors in figure 1) having an optical focal point and having an aperture, adapted for focusing incident solar radiation with a single reflection to a single focal point (the "adapted for" language is a statement of intended use and the teachings of Strass meet that adaptation);

at least one power conversion unit **10** which receives said light after being focused; and

Application/Control Number: 10/556,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

at least one solar tracking apparatus comprising at least one rotational drive (column 3 lines 20-35 and column 4 line 45 through column 5 line 27). Strass also discloses the claimed features wherein the system is configured for generating electric power and heat, wherein the system is configured for generating electric power, wherein the system is configured for generating heat, wherein said solar radiation concentrator is shaped as a concave parabolic dish, wherein the solar radiation concentrator is shaped as a dish or a polygon wherein said at least one rotational drive comprises a radio-dial type drive, wherein said radio-dial type drive is configured to have substantially zero backlash, wherein said radio-dial type drive is configured to have substantially zero drift, wherein said radio-dial type drive comprises a cable wrapped about a rotational element under a tension sufficient to have substantially zero backlash and substantially zero drift to avoid slack and slippage between the cable and the rotational element, wherein the tracking apparatus comprises two rotational drives rotating around two non-parallel rotation axes, further comprising a controller configured to maximize the radiation flux on the power conversion unit, using at least one of (a) a calculated expression based on geographical and time data, (b) a closed loop correction based on a measurement of at least one of the radiation flux or the generated output power, wherein the solar radiation concentrator is configured to concentrate, wherein the solar radiation concentrator is configured to concentrate, wherein the power conversion unit comprises at least one of a thermal engine and one or more concentrated photovoltaic cell, characterized by a combined conversion efficiency to heat and electricity, wherein the combined conversion efficiency, further comprising a coolant fluid mechanism adapted to heat the

Art Unit: 3743

coolant fluid by absorbing heat from the power conversion unit, wherein the coolant fluid mechanism is adapted to heat the coolant fluid, wherein plurality of said solar radiation concentrators and plurality of said power conversion units are configured to be installed on a single said solar tracking apparatus, wherein said at least one power conversion unit is positioned substantially at said focal point all of which are inherent because the configuration of Strass meets each of those claimed features. Strass discloses the claimed invention, except for the claimed an aperture of between about 0.5 m and about 2 meters or specific temperatures or efficiencies. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to recite that aperture value, since the teachings of Strass discloses the invention, as claimed, regardless of the aperture value.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other prior art references cites with this action teach one ore more elements of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth B. Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,341 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen M. Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3743